REMARKS

The present application includes claims 1-36. Claims 1-36 were rejected by the Examiner. By this amendment, claims 1, 15, and 25 have been amended.

Claims 1, 15, and 25 have been amended to recite the additional limitation that the medical data is at least one of a medical image, a medical report, and a medical application. The Applicant respectfully submits that medical data, as recited in independent claims 1, 15, and 25, is different from a transactional record defined in Luzzi (U.S. Patent No. 6,141,699). Transactional records defined in Luzzi report response time, availability, and real-time application monitoring results on the server (col. 1, lines 25-33). That is, transactional records record transactions or program execution results, not medical data. Transaction records may also include alerts based on server availability or execution time (col. 5, lines 11-16 and 39-42; col. 10, lines 29-57).

Claim 1 has also been amended to recite the limitation that the status monitor monitors the data source and/or the centralized remote data store and determines the medical data that is to be stored at the centralized remote data store. Claim 15 has been amended to recite the limitation that the status monitor monitors the data source and/or the centralized remote data store and controls the transfer to the medical data based on a trigger. Claim 25 has been amended to recite the limitation that medical data is transferred from a data source to a centralized remote data store based on a trigger.

Luzzi and the other prior art do not teach or suggest the use of a status monitor to monitor the data source and/or the centralized remote data store and to determine which medical data is stored at the data source. The prior art does not teach or suggest a trigger

Application No. 09/681,471 Docket No. 15-IS-5715 (13035US01)

for prompting storage of medical data at a centralized remote data store or transfer of medical data between a centralized remote data store and a data source. There is no evidence in the prior art that remote, centralized storage as described in the present invention was done with medical data in a medical setting. There has been a need in the medical industry for centralized remote storage of medical data, such as a medical image, a medical report, and a medical application.

Luzzi tracks server and application availability and response time (col. 1, lines 22-30). Luzzi is concerned with monitoring execution of applications on a server (Abstract; col. 1, lines 22-30). Luzzi is not concerned with and does not teach or suggest transferring medical data, such as a medical image, medical report, or application, from a remote data store to a data source. Luzzi relates to server performance and response time. Luzzi does not teach or suggest centralized remote data storage or use in a medical environment or medical application. Luzzi also does not teach or suggest a status monitor for controlling the transfer of medical data and determining the medical data to be transferred between the centralized remote data store and the data source.

Application No. 09/681,471 Docket No. 15-IS-5715 (13035US01)

CONCLUSION

The Applicant thanks the Examiner for his work on this application. The Applicant respectfully submits that the claims of the present application are allowable over the prior art. If the Examiner has any questions or the Applicants can be of any assistance, the Examiner is invited and encouraged to contact the Applicants at the number below.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: August 25, 2003

Christopher N. George

Reg. No. 51,728

McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Ltd. 500 W. Madison Street 34th Floor Chicago, Il 60661 Phone (312) 775-8000 Fax (312) 775-8100